may reflect a fairly thorough overhaul and reorganization, calling upon, among others, the members of existing families of seers to staff that office thereafter.¹⁹

McGill University

A. SCHACHTER

¹⁹ I note—but will not pursue the matter—that the names Kydas and Klyt(i)os carry more or less the same message. I am grateful to Peter Hansen for his helpful comments on an earlier draft of this article.

PINDAR, PYTHIAN 2.56

τὸ πλουτεῖν δὲ σὺν τύχαι πότμου σοφίας ἄριστον.

The sentence has been much discussed, but most of the explanations suggested may be discounted on grounds of word order² or Greek usage,³ and it is fairly clear that the meaning of Pindar's words is that to be wealthy σὺν τύχαι πότμου is 'the best (part) of wisdom'. It remains only to determine the force of $\tau \delta \pi \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \dots \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu$ τύχαι πότμου. Eduard Fraenkel⁵ and others who take these words together have supposed that the phrase means 'wealth when it is granted by divine will and not gained unjustly', but good fortune is not plausibly said to be a constituent of

A survey in C. Carey, A Commentary on Five Odes of Pindar (New York, 1981), 43-5. Recent discussions, with further bibliography: G. W. Most, AFLS 7 (1986), 47-71; Pascale Hummel, La Syntaxe de Pindare (Louvain and Paris, 1993), 111-14; E. Cingano in B. Gentili et al., Pindaro, Le Pitiche (Milan, 1995), 387f.; D. I. Iakov, Hellenica 47 (1997), 152f.

² I include the explanation τὸ εὐπορεῖν σοφίας [~ τὸ πλουτεῖν... σοφίας] σὺν εὐτυχίαι αριστόν ἐστι, proposed at sch. 101f, ii.48.16f. Dr., and that attributed to Aristarchus, εὖποτμότατός ἐστιν [~ πότμου . . . ἄριστον] ὁ πλουτῶν καὶ σοφίας ἄμα τυγχάνων [~ σὖν τύχαι . . . σοφίας] (ibid., ii.49.6f.).

This may be said of the view that the subject of the sentence is $\tau \delta \pi \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \dots \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \nu \chi a \iota$ πότμου σοφίας, apparently implied by sch. 101e, ii.48.15f., τὸ δὲ ἐπιτυγχάνειν . . . πλούτου μετὰ σοφίας ἄριστόν ἐστιν: σὺν τύχαι πότμου is shown by Ο. 8.67 τύχαι . . . δαίμονος, P. 8.53 τύχαι θεών, Ν. 4.7 σὺν Χαρίτων τύχαι, 5.48 Μενάνδρου σὺν τύχαι, 6.24 σὺν θεοῦ . . . τύχαι, to be a phrase complete in itself (so Carey [n. 1], 43f.), and even if it were granted that the words σὺν τύχαι πότμου σοφίας could be joined and understood in the required sense, there would remain the objection that $\sigma o \phi i a$ is a permanent quality, not something that a man gets from time to time, as $\tau \dot{\nu} \chi a \iota$ would appear to imply.

- ⁴ The sense of this phrase is settled by Soph. Ant. 1347f. πολλώι τὸ φρονεῖν εὐδαιμονίας / πρώτον ὑπάρχει and Pl. R. 3.389de σωφροσύνης δὲ ὡς πλήθει οὐ τὰ τοιάδε μέγιστα, άρχόντων μὲν ὑπηκόους είναι, αὐτοὺς δὲ ἄρχοντας τῶν περὶ πότους καὶ ἀφροδίσια καὶ περὶ έδωδας ήδονων; compared by Carey (n. 1), 44: it does not mean, for example, 'the best thing wisdom has to offer' (H. Lloyd-Jones, JHS 93 [1973], 122 = Greek Epic, Lyric, and Tragedy: The Academic Papers of Sir Hugh Lloyd-Jones [Oxford, 1990], 130) or 'bester Gegenstand der Dichtung' (E. Thummer, RhM 115 [1972], 298, n. 20, referring vaguely to 'verwandte Ausdrücke wie z. B. τὰ τῆς φιλοσοφίας'; A. M. Miller, TAPhA 111 [1981], 142, strangely cites in support of Thummer's view N 10.46 and Thuc. 1.142.9, the latter with a false reference). Most (n. 1), 52, n. 19, appears to suppose that the possibility of this construction is somehow called into question by the existence of the separate construction illustrated at Kühner-Gerth i.279f.; he denies the relevance of the Plato passage on the grounds that 'the superlative is supported by a demonstrative pronoun which it modifies', without explaining why the agreement of $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a$ with $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ τοιάδε is to be regarded as essentially different from that of $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ with $\tau \dot{\delta}$ φρονε $\hat{\nu}$ or that of $\tilde{a}\rho\iota\sigma\tau o\nu$ with $\tau \delta \pi \lambda o\nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\iota}\nu$.
- ⁵ Ap. W. Schadewaldt, Der Aufbau des Pindarischen Epinikion, SKGG 5.3 (Halle, 1928), 331 [73], n. 0.

So Lloyd-Jones (n. 4); cf. Carey (n. 1), 44.

wisdom. Comparison with P. 3.103f., $\epsilon \hat{l}$ δὲ νόωι τις ἔχει θνατῶν ἀλαθείας δδόν [~ σοφίας ἄριστον], χρὴ πρὸς μακάρων / τυγχάνοντ' [~ σὺν τύχαι πότμου] $\epsilon \hat{v}$ πασχέμεν [~ πλουτεῖν], suggests rather that the sentence is to be understood as meaning 'to be wealthy as and when fortune grants it [i.e. to accept such wealth as fortune brings] is the best (part) of wisdom': Pindar returns to this thought at 93–6, φέρειν δ' ἐλαφρῶς ἐπαυχένιον λαβόντα ζυγόν / ἀρήγει· ποτὶ κέντρον δέ τοι / λακτιζέμεν τελέθει / δλισθηρὸς οἶμος. It is God who is in control of men's fortunes (49–52), and Pindar must not have recourse to slander if others are more successful than he (52f.).8 for he has seen Archilochus in his helplessness taking pleasure in insults, but the best of wisdom is to accept wealth as and when fortune grants it (54–6). To Hieron it has been granted more than to any other man (57–61).

Merton College, Oxford

W. B. HENRY

⁷ Cf. J. Péron, *REG* 87 (1974), 8.

⁸ For the use of the first person, cf., in the parallel passage in P. 3, lines 107–11: σμικρὸς ἐν σμικροῖς, μέγας ἐν μεγάλοις / ἔσσομαι, τὸν δ' ἀμφέποντ' αἰεὶ φρασίν / δαίμον' ἀσκήσω κατ' ἐμὰν θεραπεύων μαχανάν. / εἰ δέ μοι πλοῦτον θεὸς ἁβρὸν ὀρέξαι, / ἐλπίδ' ἔχω κλέος εὐρέσθαι κεν ὑψηλὸν πρόσω.

HOMERIC IPHIGENEIA

The *I.T.* opens with Iphigeneia's narration of her dream and her interpretation of it. The dream signifies, she believes, that her dear brother is dead (42–60, 144–56). Shortly thereafter she hears that two new Greek victims are being brought to her and she notes how the recent 'news' of her brother's death has changed her personality (344–79).

To the best of my knowledge, it has never been noticed that the latter speech is clearly and substantially influenced by a passage in the *Iliad*.

When Achilles learns of the death of his dear friend Patroclus, he returns with fury to the battlefield. The hapless Lycaon crosses his path and begs for mercy (21.64–96). Achilles responds (99–113) with a speech that is the source of Iphigeneia's. He notes that before Patroclus' death he had been merciful to and sparing of the Trojans. But now that Patroclus is dead, no enemy who meets him on the battlefield will escape death. Similarly Iphigeneia: previously she had felt mercy toward strangers who fell into her hands, but now that Orestes is 'dead', the strangers will receive no mercy from her (344–50). Thus, the central theme of Iphigeneia's speech is borrowed from Homer.

In addition, there are perhaps tangential similarities. The herdsman observes that the death of captured Greeks serves as vengeance for Iphigeneia on the Greeks who sought to kill her (336–9) and she herself echoes the notion of punishing surrogates in the absence of the real villains (357–8). So Achilles had seen his killing of Trojans, especially sons of Priam, as revenge for the blood of Patroclus, in the (temporary) absence of Hector (105; cf. 95–6).

There are a few verbal echoes: Iphigeneia begins with $\pi \rho i \nu \mu \epsilon \nu$, followed by $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \delta \epsilon$ (344, 348); so too Achilles (100, 103). Addressing herself, she refers to her Greek

² This is, in fact, one of only two instances in Euripides of $\pi\rho i\nu$ $\mu \acute{e}\nu$ followed by $\nu \hat{v}\nu$ $\delta \acute{e}$. The other is at Or.~1095-6.

We note too that, whereas Iphigeneia recalls her evil father who brought her to her present plight (360), Achilles thinks of his noble father (109). Iphigeneia contrasts her mother to her father (365-8); Achilles mentions his mother as an appropriate complement to his father (109).